Regular separability of WSTS

Sebastian Muskalla <s.muskalla@tu-braunschweig.de>

Regular separability of well-structured transition systems (arXiv:1702.05334) together with W. Czerwiński, S. Lasota, R. Meyer, K. N. Kumar, and P. Saivasan. In CONCUR 2018, volume 118 of LIPIcs, pages 35:1–35:18, Schloss Dagstuhl, 2018.

Regular separability

Regular separability of \mathcal{F} **Given:** Languages $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K} \subseteq \Sigma^*$ from class \mathcal{F} . **Decide:** Is there $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Sigma^*$ regular such that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$?

Intuition:









separable

not separable

disjointness is necessary

The result & its consequences

Theorem: If two WSTS languages, one of them finitely branching, are disjoint, then they are regularly separable.

Corollary: If a language and its complement are languages of finitely-branching WSTS, then they are necessarily regular.

Corollary: No subclass of the class of languages of finitelybranching WSTS beyond REG is closed under complement.

Proof approach:

1. Show that finitely-represented inductive invariants can be turned into regular separators.



Technische Universität Braunschweig



Related work:



Well-structured transition systems [FS01]

Labeled WSTS $\mathcal{W} = (S, \leq, T, I, F)$ over Σ with

- (S, \leq) well-quasi ordered states,
- $T \subseteq S \times \Sigma \times S$ transitions, (strongly) compatible with \leq ,
- $I \subseteq S$ initial states,
- $F \subseteq S$ final states, upward closed.

Coverability language:

2. Show that such invariants always exist using ideals.

1. Invariants

Inductive invariant [MP95] X for WSTS $\mathcal{W} = (S, \leq, T, I, F)$: $X \subseteq S$ downward-closed, $I \subseteq X$, $F \cap X = \emptyset$, Post_{Σ} $(X) \subseteq X$.



Lemma: $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$ iff inductive invariant for \mathcal{W} exists.

Call an invariant X finitely represented if $X = Q \downarrow$ for Q finite.

Theorem: Let W_1 , W_2 be WSTS, W_2 deterministic. If $W_1 \times W_2$ has a finitely-represented inductive invariant, then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_2)$ are regularly separable.

Proof: Let $Q \downarrow$ be an invariant with $Q \subseteq S_1 \times S_2$ finite. Construct NFA with states Q, accepting on $Q \cap F_1 \times S_2$. NFA over-approximates the behavior of $\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$:

$$q_1\downarrow q_3$$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}) = \left\{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid s_I \xrightarrow{w} s_F \text{ for some } s_I \in I, s_F \in F \right\}$

Examples: Petri nets and extensions (transfer nets, reset nets, ...) with covering a marking as acceptance condition.

Finite branching

 \mathcal{W} finitely branching if *I* and Post_{Σ}(*s*) finite for all *s* \in *S*. \mathcal{W} deterministic if *I* and Post_{*a*}(*s*) unique for all $s \in S, a \in \Sigma$. $\mathcal{W} \omega^2$ -WSTS if (S, \leq) does not embed the Rado order.

Theorem: *The following inclusions of language classes hold:* lang. of ω^2 -WSTS \subseteq lang. of deterministic WSTS, lang. of fin.-branching WSTS \subseteq lang. of deterministic WSTS.



State of NFA State of $W_1 \times W_2$ Move of NFA Move of $\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2$ ---> Invalid move

Acceptance in NFA inherited from $\mathcal{W}_1 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(NFA) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_1)$. $Q \cap F_1 \times F_2 = \emptyset, \mathcal{W}_2$ deterministic $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(NFA) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_2) = \emptyset.$

2. Ideals [KP92] [FG12, BFM14]

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ be the ideal completion of \mathcal{W} . Note: $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mathcal{W}})$.

Proposition: If X is an invariant for \mathcal{W} , then its ideal decomposition $ID-DEC(X)\downarrow$ is a finitely-represented invariant for $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$.

Presented in Berlin in September 2018 at **HIGHLIGHTS**

Regular separability of WSTS

Abstract

We investigate the languages recognized by well-structured transition systems (WSTS). We show that, under mild assumptions, every two disjoint WSTS languages are regularly separable: There is a regular language containing one of them and being disjoint from the other. As a consequence, if a language as well as its complement are both recognized by WSTS, then they are necessarily regular. In particular, no subclass of WSTS languages beyond the regular languages is closed under complement.

Publication

Regular separability of well-structured transition systems. W. Czerwiński, S. Lasota, R. Meyer, S. Muskalla, K. N. Kumar, and P. Saivasan. In CONCUR 2018, volume 118 of LIPIcs, pages 35:1–35:18, Schloss Dagstuhl, 2018. The full version is available on arXiv.org/abs/1702.05334.

References

- [SW76] Noncanonical extensions of bottom-up parsing techniques. T. G. Szymanski and J. H. Williams. In SIAM Journal on Computing, 5(2):231–250, 1976.
 - [K16] Invisible pushdown languages.E. Kopczynski.In LICS 2016, pages 867–872, 2016.
- [CL17] Regular separability of one counter automata.
 W. Czerwiński and S. Lasota.
 In LICS 2017, pages 1–12, 2017.
- [CCLP17a] Regular separability of Parikh automata.
 L. Clemente, W. Czerwiński, S. Lasota, and C. Paperman
 In ICALP 2017, pages 117:1–117:13, 2017.
- [CCLP17b] Separability of reachability sets of vector addition systems.
 L. Clemente, W. Czerwiński, S. Lasota, and C. Paperman.
 In STACS 2017, pages 24:1–24:14, 2017.
 - [FS01] Well-structured transition systems everywhere!A. Finkel and P. Schnoebelen.In Theor. Comput. Sci., 256(1-2):63–92, 2001.
 - [MP95] Temporal verification of reactive systems Safety. Z. Manna and A. Pnueli Springer, 1995.
 - [KP92] Une extension d'un théorème de P. Jullien sur les âges de mots.M. Kabil and M. Pouzet.In ITA, 26:449–484, 1992.
 - [FG12] Forward analysis for WSTS, part II: Complete WSTS.A. Finkel and J. Goubault-Larrecq.In Logical Methods in Computer Science, 8(3), 2012.
 - [BFM14] Handling infinitely branching WSTS. M. Blondin, A. Finkel, and P. McKenzie. In Inf. Comput., 258:28–49, 2018.