

Exercises to the lecture Logics  
Sheet 2

Jun.-Prof. Dr. Roland Meyer

Due 10. Mai 2013

**Exercise 2.1** [Complete sets of connectives]

$A$  and  $B$  are called *equivalent*, denoted  $A \models B$ , if  $\varphi(A) = \varphi(B)$  for any valuation  $\varphi$ . For a set  $C$  of connectives, let  $\mathcal{F}(C)$  be the set of formulae that contain no connectives other than those in  $C$ . We call a set  $C$  of connectives *complete* if for any formula  $A$ , there is an equivalent formula  $B \in \mathcal{F}(C)$ .

- a) Suppose you have the connective  $\bar{\wedge}$  (“NAND”), which satisfies

$$\varphi(A\bar{\wedge}B) = 1 - \min\{\varphi(A), \varphi(B)\}$$

for any formulae  $A, B$ . Using structural induction, show that  $\{\bar{\wedge}\}$  is a complete set of connectives.

- b) For valuations  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ , we write  $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$  if  $\varphi_1(p) \leq \varphi_2(p)$  for any propositional variable  $p$ . A formula  $A$  is said to be *monotone* if  $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$  implies  $\varphi_1(A) \leq \varphi_2(A)$  for any valuations  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  (in other words: the Boolean function corresponding to  $A$  is monotone). Using structural induction, show that every formula in  $\mathcal{F}(\{\wedge, \vee\})$  is monotone.
- c) Deduce from b) that  $\{\wedge, \vee\}$  is not a complete set of connectives.
- d) Show that for any monotone formula  $A$ , there is an equivalent one in  $\mathcal{F}(\{\wedge, \vee\})$  (Hint: Adapt the method for obtaining a DNF from a truth table and consider minimal satisfying valuations).

**Exercise 2.2** [Logical equivalence]

Show that the logical equivalence is a congruence relation, that is: If  $A \models A'$  and  $B \models B'$ , then also  $\neg A \models \neg A'$  and  $(A * B) \models (A' * B')$  for any binary connective  $*$ .

**Exercise 2.3** [Horn formulae]

Suppose there are additional atomic formulae  $\top$  and  $\perp$ , which satisfy

$$\varphi(\top) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\perp) = 0$$

for every valuation  $\varphi$ . A *Horn formula* is a conjunction of formulae  $(A \rightarrow B)$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are each a propositional variable or one of the symbols  $\top$  and  $\perp$ . An example of such a formula is

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\top \rightarrow p) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) \wedge (r \rightarrow \perp).$$

Devise a method that decides whether a given Horn formula is satisfiable and try to make it as time efficient as possible (Hint: Successively check off occurrences of propositional variables). Explain why your method is faster than trying valuations one by one.

**Delivery: until 10. Mai 2013 into the box next to room 34/401.4**