

## Recap:

↳ We have not yet given the definition of VASS.

A vector addition system with states (VASS)

is a tuple

$$V = (Q, \Sigma, C, T)$$

with

- $Q$  a finite set of states,

- $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet (alphabets are always finite unless stated otherwise),

- $C$  a finite set of counters, and

- $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times \prod_{c \in C} \mathbb{Z} \times Q$  a finite set of transitions.

The semantics is as expected. We write  $\rightarrow_{\mathbb{Z}}$  if counters are allowed to go negative.

↳ We learned about two important systems of equalities.

For  $x \in \mathbb{N}^T$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^S$ , we define

$$\forall q \in Q: \sum_{t=(\cdot, q)} x(t) - \sum_{t=(q, \cdot)} x(t) = 0, \quad (\text{KIRCH}(V))$$

$$y_2 - y_1 - \sum_{t \in T} x(t) \cdot \text{eff}(t) = 0 \quad (\text{MARK}(V))$$

$$\begin{matrix} y_1 = m_1 \\ y_2 = m_2 \end{matrix} \quad (\text{ACC}(m_1, m_2))$$

↳ Kirchhoff's inequality appeared in this result:

Theorem (Euler / Kirchhoff):

Let  $G = (V, T)$  be a directed graph that is strongly connected.

Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}^T$  satisfy  $(\text{KIRCH}) \wedge x \gg 1$ .

Then for every node  $v \in V$

there is a cycle  $c$  rooted in  $v$

so that  $\mathcal{F}(c) = x$ .

The theorem yields a sufficient condition for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -reachability in strongly connected VRSS.

We call a VRSS strongly connected, if the underlying graph (drop the edge annotations) is.

Lemma:

Let  $V$  be a strongly connected VRSS.

If  $\boxed{x \geq 1} \wedge \text{KIRCH}(V) \wedge \text{MARK}(V) \wedge \text{ACC}(m_1, m_2)$

is feasible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$(q, m_1) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}^*} (q, m_2), \text{ for all } q \in Q.$$

Proof:

The Euler-Kirchhoff-Theorem guarantees

the existence of a path/cycle.

The marking equation + acceptance make sure

the resulting counter values are as expected.  $\square$

There is also a kind of converse of the Euler-Kirchhoff-Theorem.

Lemma:

Let  $G = (V, T)$  be a directed graph.

Let  $c$  be a cycle in  $G$ .

Then  $\chi(c)$  satisfies  $\text{KIRCH}(G)$ .

Proof: We proceed by induction on the number of primitive cycles that  $c$  goes through.

III: If  $c$  is a primitive cycle,  
then it clearly satisfies  $KIRCH(G)$ .

IV: Assume  $c$  goes through  $n \geq 2$  primitive cycles.  
Then it has the shape

$$c = r_1 \cdot c' \cdot r_2,$$

where  $c'$  is another primitive cycle and  $r_1, r_2$  a cycle.

We already know  $\chi(c')$  satisfies  $KIRCH(G)$ .

Moreover,  $r_1, r_2$  only goes through  $n$  primitive cycles.

Hence, also  $\chi(r_1, r_2)$  satisfies  $KIRCH(G)$   
by the induction hypothesis.

As  $KIRCH(G)$  is stable under addition,  
and as

$$\chi(c) = \chi(r_1, r_2) + \chi(c'),$$

also  $\chi(c)$  satisfies  $KIRCH(G)$ .  $\square$

With this converse,

we obtain a necessary condition

for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -reachability in VASS.

Lemma:

Let  $V$  be a VASS with  $(q, m_1) \xrightarrow{*} (q, m_2)$ .

Let  $c$  be the sequence of transitions on this path.

Then

$\chi(c)$  satisfies  $\boxed{x \geq 0} \wedge KIRCH(G) \wedge MARK(G) \wedge ACC(m_1, m_2)$ .

Proof:

•  $x \geq 0$  is trivial

•  $KIRCH(G)$  is the same lemma.

•  $MARK(G, m_1, m_2)$  holds by the lemma we had earlier.  $\square$

Ziel: Charakterisierung von  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Reachability.

Ansatz: Solve the equation system from the necessary condition (the one with  $x \geq 0$ ),  
add the missing transitions with cycles that have zero-effect.

Definition:

Let  $V$  be a strongly connected VFS  
with counter valuations mapping  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^C$ .

The characteristic equation (for reachability of  $m_2$  from  $m_1$  in  $V$ )

is

$$\text{CHAR}(V, m_1, m_2) := x \geq 0 \wedge \text{KIRCH}(V) \wedge \text{MARK}(V) \wedge \text{RCC}(m_1, m_2).$$

The homogeneous variant of the characteristic equation

is

$$\text{CHFR}(V, 0, 0).$$

A support solution to the characteristic equation  
is a solution  $(x, y_1, y_2)$  to the homogeneous variant.

The support of the characteristic equations  
is the set

$$\text{supp}(\text{CHAR}(V, m_1, m_2)) := \{x(t) \mid \exists \text{ support solution } (x, y_1, y_2) \text{ with } x(t) > 0\}$$

A full support solution is a support solution  
that gives a positive value to all variables in the support.

Lemma:

There always is a full support solution.

Proof: If  $(x', y_1', y_2')$  and  $(x'', y_1'', y_2'')$  are support solutions,

so  $(x', y_1', y_2') + (x'', y_1'', y_2'')$ .

So for each variable  $x(t) \in \text{supp}(\text{CHAR}(V, m_1, m_2))$ ,  
 we find a support solution that justifies this membership,  
 and we add them up.  $\square$

Proposition:

Let  $V = (Q, \Sigma, C, T)$  be a strongly connected VASS.

Let  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^S$  be count valuations.

Assume all transitions are in the support,

● meaning

$$\text{supp}(\text{CHAR}(V, m_1, m_2)) = \{x(t) \mid t \in T\}.$$

Then  $(q, m_1) \rightarrow^* (q, m_2)$  for all  $q \in Q$

iff  $\text{CHAR}(V, m_1, m_2)$  is feasible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof:

●  $\Rightarrow$  " This is the necessary condition from above.

$\Leftarrow$  " Let  $(x, y_1, y_2)$  be the solution  
 that we assume would exist.

Let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2)$  be the full support solution  
 that exists by the above lemma.

By definition,  $\bar{y}_1 = \bar{y}_2 = 0$ .

Basic linear algebra shows that

$$Ax = b \wedge Ay = 0 \Rightarrow A(x+y) = b,$$

since matrix multiplication distributes over addition.

Hence,  $(x, y_1, y_2) + (\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2)$  solves  $\text{CHAR}(V, m_1, m_2)$ .

Since  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2)$  is a full support solution,  
we have  $(x + \bar{x})(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in T$ .

The lemma or the sufficient condition now applies,  
and yields the desired conclusion.  $\square$

### Remark:

This is not how you actually solve  $\mathbb{Z}$ -reachability in VAS.  
Instead, given  $(q_1, m_1)$  and  $(q_2, m_2)$ ,

- one computes the Parikh image from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(L(q_1, q_2))$ .

The Parikh image will be a set of vectors from  $\mathbb{N}^T$ .

Then we check whether there is a Parikh vector  
whose effect is  $m_2 - m_1$ :

$$\exists x. x \in \mathcal{P}(L(q_1, q_2)) \wedge \Sigma x(t) \cdot \text{eff}(t) = m_2 - m_1.$$

The point is that one can compute

a formula in existential Presburger arithmetic

for  $\mathcal{P}(L(q_1, q_2))$ ,

and therefore the whole query is in existential Presburger.

Since the formula can be computed in linear time,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -reachability is in NP.

The problem is NP-hard even if numbers are encoded in unary

[Haeberli, Hofman '14].

Why the above approach?

Because it fits to the solution to (N)-reachability.

It will help to understand the support semantically.

Idea: What we have seen in the above argument is this:

- If a variable is in the support, then we can add a support solution to a given solution to increase its value.
- We can do this repeatedly, and therefore variables in the support can have arbitrarily high values in the solution space.

The reverse is also true:

- If a variable is unbounded in the solution space, then it has to be in the support.

Lemma:

Consider  $Ax = b$  feasible over  $N$  and a variable  $x(t)$ .

There are  $N$ -solutions  $x$  with arbitrarily high values for  $x(t)$

- iff there is an  $N$ -solution  $y$  to  $Ay = 0$  with  $y(t) > 0$ .

Proof:

" $\Leftarrow$ " by the above argument.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Since there are solutions with arbitrarily high values for  $x(t)$ , we can come up with an infinite sequence

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$$

in which  $x(t)$  is unbounded.

We then form the subsequence  $(x_{\ell(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  as follows:

$x_{\ell(0)} = 0$ ,  $\ell(i+1)$  = the first number  $\ell$  such that  $x_{\ell}$  has a value strictly higher than  $x_{\ell(i)}$ .